
From: Tuna Sanli (b)(6) on behalf of Tuna Sanli
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 9:53 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: An inquiry

(b)(3) CIAAct
Hi (b)(6)

Do you have comment on WPost latest story regarding the killing of Khashoggi? It says CIA concluded that the murder order given by MBS of Saudi Arabia.

Can you confirm this report?

Thanks

Tuna Sanli
Senior Correspondent
TRT Washington

(b)(6)

Mobile: (b)(6)

Whatsapp: (b)(6)

@tunasanli

From: Tuna Sanli (b)(6) on behalf of Tuna Sanli
Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2018 8:38 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: An inquiry

Dear all,

There are 2 separate reports in US media says:

- 1) President Trump says he will speak Pompeo and CIA about Khashoggi
- 2) The Director of CIA Gina Haspel will be at the Congress this week for testimony on the killing of Khashoggi

Can you confirm these reports?

Best

Tuna Sanli
Senior Correspondent
TRT Washington

(b)(6)

Mobile: (b)(6)

Whatsapp: (b)(6)

@tunasanli

From: Hosenball, Mark J. (Reuters) [redacted] (b)(6) [redacted] on behalf of
Hosenball, Mark J. (Reuters)
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 12:24 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: body parts (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Here's a story about that: <https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/remains-of-khashoggi-found-in-garden-of-saudi-counsel-s-home-sky-news-reports-1.6589232>

I guess original source is SkyNews who are not totally trustworthy... but that doesn't prove its wrong... tks mh

From: Windrem, Robert (NBCUniversal) [REDACTED] (b)(6) on behalf of
Windrem, Robert (NBCUniversal)
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 4:58 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct [REDACTED]
Subject: (b)(6) Can you confirm WSJ story

About Director Haspel briefing senators on Khashoggi tomorrow?

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/cia-director-gina-haspel-to-brief-lawmakers-tuesday-on-saudi-journalists-death-1543871716>

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

From: Nahal Toosi <(b)(6)> on behalf of Nahal Toosi
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 4:36 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Can you please confirm this ASAP??? FW: WSJ Politics Alert: CIA Director to Brief Lawmakers on Khashoggi Killing
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Is this true?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: The Wall Street Journal. <access@interactive.wsj.com<mailto:access@interactive.wsj.com>>

Date: Mon, Dec 3, 2018 at 4:32 PM

Subject: WSJ Politics Alert: CIA Director to Brief Lawmakers on Khashoggi Killing

To: (b)(6)
(b)(6)

Breaking news from The Wall Street Journal.

??
??
???

Trouble viewing this email? View in web browser ><https://alerts.cmail20.com (b)(6)>

[The Wall Street Journal.]

Politics Alert

CIA Director to Brief Lawmakers on Khashoggi Killing

CIA Director Gina Haspel will brief Senate leaders Tuesday on what the spy agency knows about Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi's death at the hands of Saudi operatives, people familiar with the matter said.

Ms. Haspel's planned briefing follows criticism she received from several lawmakers last week after she failed to join a briefing on Saudi policy conducted by Secretary of State Mike Pompeo and Defense Secretary Jim Mattis.

See More Coverage ><https://alerts.cmail20.com (b)(6)>

[Forward icon.]

Share this email with a friend.

Forward<<http://alerts.forwardtomyfriend.com/>(b)(6)

[Friend icon.]

Forwarded this email by a friend?

Sign Up Here<<https://alerts.cmail20.com>(b)(6)

LEAVE THIS BOX EMPTY

Advertisement

[<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6)<<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6)
(b)(6)

[<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6):<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6)
(b)(6)

[<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6)<<http://li.wsj.net/>(b)(6)
(b)(6)

LEAVE THIS BOX EMPTY

LEAVE THIS BOX EMPTY

[Devices icon.]

Access WSJ.com and our mobile apps. Subscribe<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) >

[Apple app store icon.]<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) >

[Google app store icon.]<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) >

Unsubscribe<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) > |

Newsletters & Alerts<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) > |

Contact Us<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) > |

Privacy Policy<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) > |

Cookie Policy<https://alerts.cmail20.com/ (b)(6) >

Dow Jones & Company, Inc. 4300 U.S. Route 1 North Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852

You are currently subscribed as (b)(6) For further assistance, please contact Customer Service at support@wsj.com<mailto:support@wsj.com> or 1-800-JOURNAL.

Copyright 2018 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. | All Rights Reserved.

Unsubscribe<<https://alerts.cmail20.com>, (b)(6)

From: Morgan Chalfant [REDACTED] (b)(6) on behalf of Morgan Chalfant
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 10:02 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: CIA Director Haspel (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
Hey [REDACTED] (b)(6) and [REDACTED] (b)(6)

I hope you are doing well! I'm working on a story on the developments with regards to Jamal Khashoggi, and I'm wondering if you all could confirm that CIA Director Haspel is traveling to Turkey to address the case? Any information you can provide on background about the timing or other details of her travel would be helpful. Also happy to chat off the record-- you can reach me at [REDACTED] (b)(6)

If you could get back to me by noon or so, I'd really appreciate it. Thank you!

Morgan

From: Cohen, Zachary <(b)(6)> on behalf of Cohen, Zachary
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:26 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct<(b)(6)>
Subject: (b)(6) CIA Director to Turkey?

Hi are you able to confirm reports Director Haspel is heading to Turkey to pursue Khashoggi investigation?

Zachary Cohen
Reporter, National Security
CNNPolitics.com
[@ZcohenCNN](https://twitter.com/ZcohenCNN)

Please excuse any typos sent from this mobile device.

From: Cohen, Zachary (b)(6) on behalf of Cohen, Zachary
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:28 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: CNN inquiry

Hi are you able to confirm reports Director Haspel is heading to Turkey to pursue Khashoggi investigation?

I am reachable on my cell or email. Thanks

Zachary Cohen
Reporter, National Security

(b)(6) (desk)
(b)(6) (cell)

@ZcohenCNN



From: Riechmann, Deb (b)(6) on behalf of Riechmann, Deb
Sent: (b)(3) CIAAct Tuesday, November 20, 2018 1:47 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: CNN

Even after the statement was released by Trump, CNN keeps saying that an intel report on Khashoggi is expected to be released today. Are they wrong. Should I just ignore that, or is there one?????

Deb Riechmann
National security/foreign affairs
Associated Press
(b)(6) (desk)
(b)(6) (cell-signal enabled)
(b)(6)

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1500 and delete this email. Thank you.

From: Shesgreen, Deirdre (b)(6) on behalf of Shesgreen, Deirdre
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2018 6:34 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: could you give me a buzz? (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Hi (b)(6)
I have a question related to the Khashoggi case. (b)(6)
Thanks,
Deirdre

Deirdre Shesgreen
Foreign Affairs Reporter
USA TODAY

(b)(6)

@dshesgreen



(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: (b)(3) CIAAct Tuesday, November 13, 2018 11:24 AM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: (b)(6) End of day input

Olivia Andrzejczak Gaziz (CNBCNews) contacted OPA seeking guidance whether CIA, had offered any final assessment regarding a potential link (or lack thereof) between MBS and Khashoggi's killing, as was reportedly suggested by Amb Bolton. OPA declined to comment and deferred to NSC and Congress on questions whether the process of determining what happened and any US response was at a conclusion.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

CIA Office of Public Affairs

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2018 5:16 PM
To: 'Windrem, Robert (NBCUniversal)'
Cc: (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]
Subject: (b)(6) Follow up

Hi Bob! Just wanted to follow up on your conversation with [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Feel free to give me a ring at your convenience. Thanks!

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
[redacted]
CIA Office of Public Affairs
(b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Windrem, Robert (NBCUniversal) [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 5:00 PM
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Can you confirm WSJ story

That Director Haspel is briefing Senate Tuesday on Khashoggi

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/cia-director-gina-haspel-to-brief-lawmakers-tuesday-on-saudi-journalists-death-1543871716>

I can be reached at [redacted] (b)(6) if you need to call

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 7:17 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: AFP query re WaPo report

I spoke with Paul.

-----Original Message----- (b)(6)
From: Paul HANDLEY [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 7:06 PM
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: AFP query re WaPo report

Hi, can you confirm the report that Dir Haspel is traveling to Turkey, and is the purpose related to the murder of Jamal Khashoggi?

Will she also travel to Saudi Arabia on this trip?

Thanks,

Paul Handley
US National Security Correspondent
AFP

[redacted] (b)(6) (c)
(o)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From:

Sent:

Thursday, October 25, 2018 10:26 AM

To:

(b)(3) CIAAct

Subject:

FW: Audio

I spoke with Mark.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: Hosenball, Mark J. (Reuters)

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 8:23 AM

To: [redacted]; (b)(3) CIAAct

Subject: Audio

We reporting this from region. Your guidance welcome. Tks much mh

Alerts History

25-Oct-2018 09:45:52 - CIA DIRECTOR GINA HASPEL HEARD AUDIO RECORDING OF KILLING OF SAUDI JOURNALIST KHASHOGGI DURING VISIT TO TURKEY -TWO SOURCES

CIA director heard recording of Khashoggi killing during Turkey visit- two sources - Reuters News

25-Oct-2018 09:52:48

To view this story on Eikon, [clickhere](#)

ISTANBUL, Oct 25 (Reuters) - CIA director Gina Haspel heard an audio recording of the killing of Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi during her visit to Turkey this week, two sources told Reuters on Thursday.

Representatives for the CIA and Turkish intelligence have declined to comment on Haspel's review of the recording.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 7:12 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: Can you guys confirm this? Thank you

I spoke with Nick.

-----Original Message----- (b)(3) CIAAct
From: Nick Schifrin <(b)(6)> (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 6:20 PM
To: (b)(6)<(b)(6)@ucia.gov>; (b)(6)<(b)(6)@ucia.gov>
Subject: Can you guys confirm this? Thank you (b)(3) CIAAct

CIA concludes Saudi crown prince ordered Jamal Khashoggi's assassination Audio recordings, intercepted phone calls and other intelligence link Mohammed bin Salman to killing that Saudis say was conducted by rogue elements.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/cia-concludes-saudi-crown-prince-ordered-jamal-khashoggis-assassination/2018/11/16/98c89fe6-e9b2-11e8-a939-9469f1166f9d_story.html

Nick Schifrin
Foreign Affairs and Defense Correspondent, PBS NewsHour
Office: (b)(6)
Mobile: (b)(6)
(b)(6)
@nickschifrin

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 11:29 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: CBS checking in

I spoke to Olivia. She wanted to know if CIA had concluded its process of determining responsibility for Khashoggi's death, whether we had briefed congress. I declined comment and said we defer to NSC on Amb Bolton's statements and Congress on whether it has or will received intelligence briefings on this subject.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrzejczak Gazis, Olivia [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 8:10 AM
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: CBS checking in

Hello,

We have also reached out to NSC, but are interested to know whether CIA, including DCIA Haspel, has, based on audio supplied by Turkey, offered any final assessment regarding a potential link (or lack thereof) between MBS and Khashoggi's killing - as was recently suggested by Amb Bolton.

Would appreciate a call if possible - [redacted] (b)(6)

Thanks as always

Olivia

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2018 12:38 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: CBS follow-up

I spoke with Olivia.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrzejczak Gazis, Olivia (b)(6)
Sent: Sunday, November 18, 2018 4:34 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Cc: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(6)
Subject: RE: CBS follow-up (b)(3) CIAAct

Hello - respectfully checking in on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrzejczak Gazis, Olivia (b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Sunday, November 18, 2018 12:56 PM (b)(6)
To: [redacted]
Cc: Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) [redacted]@ucia.gov>
Subject: CBS follow-up (b)(3) CIAAct

Hello,

Sorry for the weekend check-ins. Can someone please offer guidance on the President's remarks regarding a "full report" forthcoming on the Khashoggi matter on Monday or Tuesday? Is that an agency deliverable, or something we should be expecting from State, or elsewhere?

Appreciate anything you can provide. Am at [redacted] (b)(6) if easier.

Thank you,
Olivia

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrzejczak Gazis, Olivia (b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2018 11:54 AM (b)(6)
To: [redacted]
Cc: Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) [redacted]@ucia.gov>
Subject: Re: POTUS on briefing (b)(3) CIAAct

Sorry, adding also, for clarity - a question regarding Trump's comment "we haven't been briefed yet."

Assumption is POTUS has been consistently briefed on this matter but please let us know if that is not the case.

> On Nov 17, 2018, at 11:46 AM, Andrzejczak Gazis, Olivia [redacted] (b)(6) wrote:
>
> Hello,

>

> CBS requests comment/guidance on President Trump's comments this morning regarding MBS - Specifically, he indicated he would be briefed by the CIA on Air Force One and said that "as of this moment we were told that he [MBS] did not play a role."

>

> Two officials have told CBS News the agency has intelligence substantiating an assessment that MBS ordered the killing of Jamal Khashoggi.

>

> Appreciate the help, especially on the weekend.

>

> Olivia

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:55 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: CIA director to Turkey?

FYI – I talked to Deirdre.

From: Shesgreen, Deirdre (b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:51 PM (b)(6)
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: CIA director to Turkey? (b)(6)

Hi [redacted]

Can you confirm that CIA Director Gina Haspel is on her way to Turkey as part of the U.S. response to the Khashoggi death? Can you tell me who she will meet with there? Will she be reviewing Turkish evidence in the case, including the audio/video recordings they say they have?

Thanks,
Deirdre

Deirdre Shesgreen
Foreign Affairs Reporter
USA TODAY

[redacted] (b)(6)

@dshesgreen



(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From:

Sent:

Thursday, October 25, 2018 10:42 AM

To:

(b)(3) CIAAct

Subject:

FW: FT qu on recordings and Mr Khashoggi

I spoke with Katrina.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Katrina Manson (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 9:48 AM

To: @ucia.gov>; @ucia.gov>

Subject: FT qu on recordings and Mr Khashoggi (b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) CIAAct

Dear (b)(6)

Can I check that Dir Haspel listened to recordings of what happened to Mr Khashoggi while she was in Turkey?

Happy to take it off record.

Thank you,

Katrina

--

Katrina Manson

US Foreign Policy and Defense Correspondent Washington DC Financial Times

Cell + (b)(6)

twitter: @KatrinaManson

instagram.com/katrinamanson

--

*This email was

sent by a company owned by Financial Times Group Limited ("FT Group <<http://aboutus.ft.com/corporate-information/#axzz3rajCSIAAt>>"),

registered office at Number One Southwark Bridge, London SE1 9HL. Registered in England and Wales with company number 879531. This e-mail may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately, delete all copies and do not distribute it further. It could* *also contain personal views which are not necessarily those of the FT Group. We may monitor outgoing or incoming emails as permitted by law.*

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 7:14 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]
Subject: (b)(6) FW: Guardian calling re questions regarding Jamal Khashoggi

I didn't respond to this email yet and feel that just calling the reporter in the AM is the best call. Just wanted to let you know my thoughts on this inquiry.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: Stephanie Kirchgaessner
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 4:41 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Guardian calling re questions regarding Jamal Khashoggi

Hello,

My name is Stephanie Kirchgaessner and I'm an investigative reporter with the Guardian newspaper here in Washington.

I am emailing you some questions for a story we are working on and intend to publish regarding Jamal Khashoggi and US intelligence in the months preceding his murder.

Specifically, we have been given evidence that strongly suggests that a senior US diplomatic official confronted Saudi officials (in Saudi) in February 2018 and indicated to them that US officials were aware of a plan by Saudi Arabia to seek to "repatriate" Jamal Khashoggi back to Saudi Arabia from his home in the US. Saudi officials were told in the confrontation that any such move - essentially to kidnapp Khashoggi, or otherwise force his return to Saudi Arabia - would disrupt the US-Saudi relationship.

The message that was received by the Saudi officials, and was then passed on to Saudi officials here in Washington, based on information that has been leaked to us, is that there was a call to "halt" the operations here in the US.

My questions are: can you offer us any confirmation that the US was aware of a plan dating back to February 2018 by Saudi officials to "repatriate" Khashoggi?

Can you give any insight into the nature of that information, how it was obtained, and how far the Saudis were in executing their alleged plan on US soil?

As far as you know, did the CIA pass this or any similar information on to the US State Department, which took over plans to communicate a message to the Saudis that they needed to stop?

I know this is sensitive but we are seeking as many details as we can about this apparently extraordinary intervention by US officials months before Khashoggi was murdered at the Saudi consulate in Turkey.

My phone number is [redacted] (b)(6) and I am happy to talk by phone or have a meeting. Thanks, Stephanie

--
US Investigations Correspondent
[redacted] (b)(6)
@skirchy

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store,

or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2019 5:40 PM (b)(3) CIAAct
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Guardian calling re questions regarding Jamal Khashoggi

FYSA We've connected with Stephanie and plan to talk on Monday morning

From: Stephanie Kirchgaessner (b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 4:41 PM (b)(6)
To: [redacted]
Subject: Guardian calling re questions regarding Jamal Khashoggi

Hello,

My name is Stephanie Kirchgaessner and I'm an investigative reporter with the Guardian newspaper here in Washington.

I am emailing you some questions for a story we are working on and intend to publish regarding Jamal Khashoggi and US intelligence in the months preceding his murder.

Specifically, we have been given evidence that strongly suggests that a senior US diplomatic official confronted Saudi officials (in Saudi) in February 2018 and indicated to them that US officials were aware of a plan by Saudi Arabia to seek to "repatriate" Jamal Khashoggi back to Saudi Arabia from his home in the US. Saudi officials were told in the confrontation that any such move - essentially to kidnapp Khashoggi, or otherwise force his return to Saudi Arabia - would disrupt the US-Saudi relationship.

The message that was received by the Saudi officials, and was then passed on to Saudi officials here in Washington, based on information that has been leaked to us, is that there was a call to "halt" the operations here in the US.

My questions are: can you offer us any confirmation that the US was aware of a plan dating back to February 2018 by Saudi officials to "repatriate" Khashoggi?

Can you give any insight into the nature of that information, how it was obtained, and how far the Saudis were in executing their alleged plan on US soil?

As far as you know, did the CIA pass this or any similar information on to the US State Department, which took over plans to communicate a message to the Saudis that they needed to stop?

I know this is sensitive but we are seeking as many details as we can about this apparently extraordinary intervention by US officials months before Khashoggi was murdered at the Saudi consulate in Turkey.

My phone number is +[redacted] (b)(6) and I am happy to talk by phone or have a meeting. Thanks, Stephanie

--
US Investigations Correspondent
[redacted] (b)(6)
@skirchy

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store,

or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 7:08 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]
Subject: FW: Haspel-2

I spoke with Deb.

From: Riechmann, Deb
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:59 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Haspel-2 (b)(3) CIAAct

Sorry, I meant to say that Reuters is saying that she's traveling to Turkey, not Saudi Arabia.

Can you confirm? Trump said today that top intel officials were in Turkey RE the Khashoggi case.

Deb Riechmann
National security/foreign affairs
Associated Press
[redacted] (desk)
[redacted] (cell-signal enabled)
[redacted] (b)(6)

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1500 and delete this email. Thank you.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2019 12:46 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

Did someone respond?

From: Koerber, Ashley (b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 11:41 AM (b)(6)
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov>
Cc: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)
(b)(3) CIAAct
Hi (b)(6)

I hope you're doing well. I wanted to see if there were any interview opportunities with Director Haspel in the near future. Bret is still very interested in having her on "Special Report."

Please get back to me at your earliest convenience. Thank you for considering!

Best,
Ashley

Ashley Moir (Koerber)
Booking Producer
Special Report w/ Bret Baier
Fox News Channel – DC Bureau (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (cell) (b)(6)

From: [redacted]@ucia.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 6:31 PM
To: Koerber, Ashley (b)(6)
C(b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

Hi Ashley,

Thank you for your email and for thinking of CIA. Director Haspel is not available for an interview in the near-term.

Just for future reference, [redacted] moved to a new position and was replaced by [redacted] who is included on our group address copied above.

Many thanks again, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Approved for Release: 2019/06/28 C06808819

CIA Office of Public Affairs

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: Koerber, Ashley (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 4:25 PM

To: (b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov>>

Cc: (b)(6) @ucia.gov>>; (b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov>>

Subject: RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

(b)(3) CIAAct
Hi (b)(6)

I hope you're doing well.

I wanted to see if Director Haspel would be available for an interview with Bret Baier when she returns back to the U.S. We could discuss the Khashoggi investigation, as well as election security heading into the midterms, among others.

Please let me know if we can further discuss. Thank you for considering!

Best,
Ashley

Ashley Moir (Koerber)
Booking Producer
Special Report w/ Bret Baier
Fox News Channel – DC Bureau (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (cell) (b)(6)

From: @ucia.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 5:37 PM

To: Koerber, Ashley (b)(6)

Cc: @ucia.gov>>; (b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov>>

Subject: RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

Hello Ashley, (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Director Haspel is not available for an interview with Bret on or near September 11. We appreciate you thinking of CIA, and I've included an interview with Bret on our list of future requests to consider.

Many thanks again, (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

CIA Office of Public Affairs

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: Koerber, Ashley (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 5:30 PM (b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov>> (b)(6)
Cc: [redacted]@ucia.gov>>
Subject: RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

Thank you! (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: [redacted]@ucia.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 5:28 PM
To: Koerber, Ashley [redacted] (b)(6)
Cc: [redacted]@ucia.gov>>
Subject: RE: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News) (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Hello Ashley,

Thank you for reaching out. I'm looking into your proposal and will circle back with you soon.

Thanks again, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

CIA Office of Public Affairs

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: Koerber, Ashley <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 2:51 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]@ucia.gov>>; [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6) [redacted]@ucia.gov>> (b)(6)
Subject: Interview Request: Director Haspel with Bret Baier (Fox News)

Hi [redacted] and [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)
(b)(6) I hope you both are doing well! I wanted to throw out an idea to you...

As you might recall, Bret did an interview with then-Director Pompeo on September 11 of last year. Perhaps we can do something similar again this year, but with Director Haspel. We could go over the terror threat, national security strategy, election hacking concerns, etc. All of this would be very timely for the 9/11 anniversary and the upcoming midterms.

I'm happy to hop on the phone if you would like to further discuss this!

Please get back to me when you can. Thanks for considering!

Best,
Ashley

Ashley Moir (Koerber)
Booking Producer
Special Report w/ Bret Baier

Fox News Channel – DC Bureau

(b)(6) (cell)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:48 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: Khashoggi latest

I spoke with Nick.

From: Nick Schifrin
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 6:35 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Cc: [redacted] (b)(6)
Subject: Khashoggi latest

Hi everyone,
Hope all is well.
Do you have anything on whether you've heard a Turkish audio tape, or been given a transcript?
And do you have anything on a Reuters report that Haspel is heading there tonight? POTUS said today that a US investigative team was returning tonight or tomorrow.
Is that something you can help with?
Thanks very much.

Nick Schifrin
Foreign Affairs and Defense Correspondent, PBS NewsHour
Office: [redacted] (b)(6)
Mobile [redacted]
[redacted] (b)(6)
@nickschifrin

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 7:33 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: Query: Full Senate Briefing

I spoke to Alex – no guidance nor comment from us.

From: Alexander Ward
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2018 7:25 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Query: Full Senate Briefing

Hi, would Director Haspel be willing to meet with all senators in a closed setting to brief on the Khashoggi/Saudi Arabia intelligence, like she did today to a handful of senators?

Thanks and best,
Alex

Alex Ward
Staff Writer, International Security
and Defense
Vox.com

[redacted] (b)(6)

Vox is part of Vox Media, home of SB Nation,
The Verge, Polygon, Recode, Eater, Curbed, and Racked

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

(b)(3) CIAAct
Wednesday, October 10, 2018 12:32 PM
FW: query on Khashoggi case -- from NBC News

I spoke with Dan.

From: DeLuce, Daniel (NBCUniversal)

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 12:21 PM (b)(3) CIAAct

To: (b)(6)

Subject: query on Khashoggi case -- from NBC News

Hi all,

We would appreciate your assistance and any guidance or comment on the Khashoggi case.

Members of Congress are indicating that some intelligence indicates that the Turkish allegations may be accurate.

Ken is out of the country and I have been asked to contact you. Any help would be appreciated.

Best,
dan

Dan De Luce
National Security Reporter
NBC News

Cell: (b)(6)
Office: (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 11:12 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: FW: quick q

I spoke to Deirdre – she had some questions related to Khashoggi. I declined to comment.

From: Shesgreen, Deirdre
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 10:38 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Cc: (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)
Subject: RE: quick q

After TG would be great. Let me know what works.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 10:32 AM
To: Shesgreen, Deirdre ; (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)
Subject: RE: quick q

Hey There –

Circling in the media alias. We'll give you a call now and yes, we should! After Thanksgiving?

Thanks, (b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: Shesgreen, Deirdre (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 10:31 AM
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov; (b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov
Subject: quick q (b)(6)

Hi folks,
Could one of you please call me? Also, could we try to reschedule coffee?
Thanks,
Deirdre

Deirdre Shesgreen
Foreign Affairs Reporter
USA TODAY

(b)(6)

@dshesgreen



From: Martinez, Luis E. (b)(6) on behalf of Martinez, Luis E.
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 7:02 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: (b)(6) FW: WashPost: CIA director Haspel listens to audio of Jamal Khashoggi's alleged murder

Luis Martinez | ABC News Pentagon Digital Journalist | office: (b)(6) cell: (b)(6) (b)(6)

From: Lorenz, Brooke (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 6:57 PM
To: Lorenz, Brooke
Subject: WashPost: CIA director Haspel listens to audio of Jamal Khashoggi's alleged murder

The Washington Post's John Hudson, Souad Mekhennet and Shane Harris report: CIA Director Gina Haspel listened to audio purportedly capturing the interrogation and killing of journalist Jamal Khashoggi, giving a key member of President Trump's Cabinet access to the evidence used by Turkey to accuse Saudi Arabia of premeditated murder.

Haspel, who departed for a secret trip to Turkey on Monday, heard the audio during her visit, according to people familiar with her visit.

A person familiar with the audio said it was "compelling" and could put more pressure on the United States to hold Saudi Arabia accountable for the death of Khashoggi, a columnist for The Washington Post.

"This puts the ball firmly in Washington's court," said Bruce Riedel, a former CIA official and scholar at the Brookings Institution. "Not only will there be more pressure now from the media but Congress will say, 'Gina, we would love to have you come visit and you can tell us exactly what you heard.'"

READ MORE: https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/cia-director-listens-to-audio-of-journalists-alleged-murder/2018/10/24/b07af451-7422-4fea-b0cd-ae9ad70df3e2_story.html?utm_term=.d334776ef175

Brooke Lorenz

Publicist

The Washington Post

O: (b)(6) M: (b)(6)

From: Martin Smith (b)(6) on behalf of Martin Smith
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:45 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Fwd: Checking in with Martin (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
Hi (b)(6)

I wrote too soon and so the message below produced a vacation reply. Trying again... Next week I get very busy and then I am off to Saudi Arabia again. I am hoping we can do something this week if possible. I am in NYC but can come down anytime to DC.

Best, Martin.

[cid:48D9F833-22BF-471A-9810-0CAB43457C33] | Martin Smith
(bio<<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/person/martin-smith/>>) | Producer | Correspondent |
(b)(6) cell

Begin forwarded message:

From: Martin Smith (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Checking in with Martin
Date: January 9, 2019 at 3:31:26 PM EST
To: (b)(3) CIAAct @ucia.gov>> (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)
Hi (b)(6)

I hope you had a restful vacation. As agreed I am cycling back to see about a briefing. Let me know when we can discuss this.

Thanks.

[cid:48D9F833-22BF-471A-9810-0CAB43457C33] | Martin Smith
(bio<<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/person/martin-smith/>>) | Producer | Correspondent |
(b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct cell

On Jan 3, 2019, at 5:09 PM, (b)(6) @ucia.gov>> wrote:

Terrific; thanks, Martin! I'm on vacation next week. May we connect the following week to continue the conversation?
Of course, should anything be urgent, I'm always available. Best, (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Central Intelligence Agency

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: Martin Smith (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2019 2:45 PM
To: @ucia.gov>>
Subject: Re: Checking in with Martin (b)(3) CIAAct
Hi (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)

Ah yes. Great.

I am putting together a FRONTLINE hour for air in May regarding MBS. I have met him twice, most recently I spent some time with talking him last month in Riyadh. We spoke of many things including the murder of Jamal Khashoggi. He brought up some things regarding the 11 Qahtani texts that I wanted to run by you guys as well as some other things.

Back when I was working on bin Laden stuff I came for a briefing at the agency. Is that something I could arrange through you?

Will be good to see you again.

Thanks,

Martin

<image001.png> | Martin Smith (bio<<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/person/martin-smith/>>) | Producer | Correspondent | (b)(6) cell

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

On Jan 3, 2019, at 2:38 PM, @ucia.gov>> wrote:

Hi Martin!

I hear you're looking for me! and am happy to assist.

Best, (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(6)

Central Intelligence Agency

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

<image001.jpg>

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 8:19 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: FT query re Dir Haspel/Turkey

I spoke with Katrina.

----- Original Message -----

From: Katrina Manson <[redacted] (b)(6)>
Date: Monday, October 22, 2018, 8:11 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]@ucia.gov>, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]@ucia.gov>
Subject: FT query re Dir Haspel/T(b)(6)

Greetings,

Can I check on reports that Director Haspel has gone to Turkey?

Has anyone at the CIA reviews any purported recordings of what happened to Mr Khashoggi?

Thank you,

Katrina

--

Katrina Manson

US Foreign Policy and Defense Correspondent
Washington DC
Financial Times

Cell [redacted] (b)(6)
twitter: @KatrinaManson
[instagram.com/katrinamanson](https://www.instagram.com/katrinamanson)

This email was sent by a company owned by Financial Times Group Limited ("FT Group"), registered office at Number One Southwark Bridge, London SE1 9HL. Registered in England and Wales with company number 879531. This e-mail may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately, delete all copies and do not distribute it further. It could also contain personal views which are not necessarily those of the FT Group. We may monitor outgoing or incoming emails as permitted by law.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 7:17 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Fwd: Turkey

Talked to Rich.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Edson, Rich" <(b)(6)>
Date: Mon, Oct 22, 2018, 7:03 PM
To: null
CC: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Turkey (b)(6)

Hi [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Can you confirm the director's trip or provide anything further?

Thanks very much.

Rich

WaPo: CIA Director Gina Haspel departed for Turkey on Monday amid a growing international uproar over Saudi Arabia's explanation of the killing of journalist Jamal Khashoggi, according to people familiar with the matter.

Rich Edson
State Department Correspondent
Fox News Channel

(b)(6)

(cell)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

From: Lederman, Josh (NBCUniversal) <(b)(6)> on behalf of Lederman, Josh (NBCUniversal)
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 4:39 PM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct <(b)(6)>
Subject: (b)(6) Haspel/Congress

Hey there --- can you confirm Haspel plans to brief Congress tomorrow on Khashoggi? Do we know if it's House or Senate? Full or just select members?
Thanks much, Josh

Josh Lederman
National Security Reporter
<(b)(6)> (Cell) / <(b)(6)> (Desk)
@JoshNBCNews



From: Riechmann, Deb (b)(6) on behalf of Riechmann, Deb
Sent: Saturday, October 13, 2018 9:15 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: (b)(6) Hi

Can you let me know if something is about to come out from you guys, state, NSC on Khashoggi this weekend? We are all poised for any new info the USA gets. AP interviewed the fiancé yesterday. Trump still saying USA still doesn't know what happened.

Sent from my iPhone

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1500 and delete this email. Thank you.

From: Simon Hooper [REDACTED] (b)(6) [REDACTED] on behalf of Simon Hooper
Sent: Monday, January 07, 2019 11:28 AM
To: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Middle East Eye seeking comment

Hi there,

It's Simon Hooper here at the Middle East Eye website in London.

We are working on a story about contacts between Mohammed bin Zayed, the Crown Prince of Abu Dhabi, and the CIA after Gina Haspel's briefing to members of Congress last month about the killing of Jamal Khashoggi.

Our sources tell us that Mohammed bin Zayed sent both his brother and his son to seek meetings with Ms. Haspel and has been attempting to mediate between Riyadh and Washington to limit the damage done to the country's relationship by the killing.

Our sources tell us that shortly after that briefing bin Zayed sent his brother and national security adviser, Tahnoun bin Zayed, to Washington to ask for a meeting with Ms. Haspel, but the request was refused.

Bin Zayed then sent his son, Khaled, to Washington to request to meet Ms. Haspel. Our sources tell us that while a date was agreed this meeting also did not take place.

Can the CIA confirm that Tahnoun bin Zayed's request to meet with Ms. Haspel was refused?
And can it confirm that a meeting with Khaled bin Zayed was scheduled but did not take place?

Can the CIA also offer any comment or background on contacts it has had with Emirati officials in relation to these matters?

Many thanks for your help with this. Our deadline is 10am GMT on Tuesday (5am ET).

Best regards,

Simon Hooper

--

Simon Hooper *Head of News, Middle East Eye*

DL: [REDACTED] (b)(6) [REDACTED] E: [REDACTED] (b)(6) [REDACTED] W: www.middleeasteye.net



--

Confidential Disclaimer

The recipient of this email is advised that MEE operates a policy of infinite retention of emails and related attachments. Please inform the sender if you wish emails to and from you to be deleted. However, this does not apply to finance related matters as such information/records must by law be kept for a minimum of 3 years. This e-mail and all attachments are confidential, and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender, and delete this e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way. Middle East Eye is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. MEE employees are expressly required not to make defamatory statements and not to infringe or authorise any infringement of copyright or any other legal right by email. Middle East Eye is registered as M.E.E. Limited. Registered in England & Wales, Number: 08803692.

(b)(6)

From: Rohde, David [redacted] on behalf of Rohde, David
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2019 9:33 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: New Yorker piece (b)(6)
Attachments: Rohde-IntelligenceTestimony.jpg

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

[redacted]

It was great to meet you on Tuesday. I'm sorry we weren't able to connect yesterday. The story I wanted to discuss with you is below. Know you've had an incredibly busy few days. If you feel anything is incorrect or unfair, please let me

(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(6)

[redacted] and [redacted]

Thanks for your help with my book. If you feel anything is incorrect or unfair below, please let me know

Will be in touch with all of you if I have questions regarding future New Yorker stories or for my book. Thank you for your help.

Best,
David

Daily Comment

Is Trump Trying to Bully America's Intelligence Agencies Into Silence?<<https://newyorker.com/news/daily-comment/is-trump-trying-to-bully-americas-intelligence-agencies-into-silence>>

By David Rohde

[cid:Rohde-IntelligenceTestimony.jpg*webrouting@newyorker.com]

On Tuesday morning, the country's spy chiefs filed into an ornate meeting room on Capitol Hill to testify at the Senate Intelligence Committee's annual hearing on the threats<https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/Newsroom/Testimonies/2019-01-29-ATA-Opening-Statement_Final.pdf> currently facing the United States. Dan Coats, an affable former Republican senator from Indiana, who is the director of National Intelligence; Gina Haspel, a career C.I.A. covert operative who now leads that agency; and Christopher Wray, a former federal prosecutor, who is now the head of the F.B.I., shook hands with the senators and became the focus of attention.

In the course of two hours, the three officials delivered carefully measured testimony that included pronouncements that would seemingly please the President. "While we were sleeping in the last decade and a half," Coats said, "China had a remarkable rise in capabilities." Wray concurred, noting that China has "blurred if not erased" the "line between lawful behavior and fair competition and lying and hacking and cheating and stealing." And Haspel said that the Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi was the victim of "premeditated murder" but declined to state whether Saudi Arabia's crown prince Mohammed bin Salman<<https://www.newyorker.com/tag/mohammed-bin-salman>> was personally responsible.

But the three chiefs also quietly but clearly disagreed with the President. Coats stated that North Korea was "unlikely to completely give up its nuclear weapons and production capabilities," contradicting Trump<<https://www.newyorker.com/tag/donald-trump>>, who has claimed that it might. Wray said<<https://www.c-span.org/video/?c4777167/fbi-director-russia-continuing-interference-us-elections>> that the Russians had used social

media to try to interfere in the 2018 midterm elections, and were “continuing to adapt their model,” contradicting skeptical comments that Trump has made about Russia’s involvement. And Haspel said<<https://www.c-span.org/video/?c4777163/cia-director-iran-technically-compliance-nuclear-deal>> that Iran was technically “in compliance” with the nuclear deal that it had struck with the Obama Administration, contradicting claims by Trump that it is violating the terms of that agreement.

Midway through the hearings, news alerts that the intelligence chiefs were openly contradicting Trump’s assertions started hitting mobile phones and television screens. On Wednesday morning, Trump was furious after seeing cable-television news headlines saying that the intelligence chiefs had contradicted him, according to CNN<<https://www.cnn.com/2019/01/30/politics/trump-dan-coats-rant/index.html>>, and he attacked them in two<<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1090608298343190528>> tweets<<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1090609577006112769>>, without having seen their full testimony. “The Intelligence people seem to be extremely passive and naive when it comes to the dangers of Iran. They are wrong!” Trump tweeted. “Perhaps Intelligence should go back to school!” (On Thursday afternoon, Trump tweeted<<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1091088740947320833>> a photo of himself meeting with Coats and Haspel in the Oval Office. He suggested that people read the “COMPLETE” testimony from the hearing, and blamed the media for putting out a “false narrative.”)

That twenty-four-hour cycle—from a two-hour hearing to two angry tweets—was the latest charged interaction in the fraught relationship between Trump and the intelligence agencies. In an unprecedented act for a sitting President, Trump has repeatedly accused members of the F.B.I. and other agencies of being part of a “deep state”<<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/999242039723163648>> that is trying to oust him from power. His critics say that he is blatantly pressuring the intelligence community to politicize intelligence by producing findings that match his political goals—mirroring a process that was blamed, in part, for the faulty intelligence assessments that helped lead to the invasion of Iraq during the George W. Bush Administration. But the President may also be trying to achieve something simpler: bullying the intelligence agencies into silence.

On Tuesday, James Clapper, who served as the director of National Intelligence during the Obama Administration, told me that the agency heads had spoken “truth to power,” which, he said, is the primary duty of intelligence officers. He also praised them for presenting their findings without flaunting their disagreements with the President. “I think that what happened today is proof positive that the intelligence community, two years into Trump’s term, is still doing its job,” he said.

Current and former intelligence officials warned that, within the community, Trump’s rancor is having an impact. Over all, one current senior official said, the community has “hunkered down” to stay focussed on the mission, and senior members try to avoid getting in the President’s cross hairs. The senior official told me that the leaders “of these agencies are exhorting their troops to ignore the political swirl,” telling them to “just get on with your job.” But there is a growing sense that the President and his inner circle are rejecting intelligence assessments that disagree with their views. A former senior intelligence official told me that Mike Pompeo, Trump’s former C.I.A. director and now the Secretary of State, gave analysts “a lot of real pushback” when they told him, in briefings, that Iran was complying with the nuclear agreement. Trump has reportedly<https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/gap-continues-to-widen-between-trump-and-intelligence-community-on-key-issues/2018/12/11/23a02cb0-f8db-11e8-863c-9e2f864d47e7_story.html> taken issue with intelligence briefers on this topic as well.

In 2017, after transcripts of Trump’s conversations with several foreign leaders leaked to the press, intelligence-agency employees were required to attend seminars in which they were instructed on the damage caused by leaks. “With this President, the political pressure to go after leakers was incredible,” the former official said. More broadly, current and former officials say that a sense of caution has taken hold. Officials often take painstaking efforts to insure that none of their actions can be interpreted as being political—by Trump’s supporters or by his opponents—and that they will not somehow be penalized for their actions in the future. The current senior official said that this caution has not yet resulted in self-censorship within the agencies. “People are extra careful to be nonpolitical,” the official said. “People are doing what they’re doing, they’re just dotting every ‘i’ and crossing every ‘T.’ ”

Yet the former official believes that the feeling that the President and his advisers may dismiss the community's findings is resulting in a rote production of intelligence. "There is a certain sense of people going through the motions and doing things for the record," that official told me, because "if the President isn't interested in the intelligence there is little incentive to go above and beyond and think outside of the box." The implicit risk is that the community could fail to anticipate the emergence of new threats, as it has been accused of doing before 9/11 and during the 2016 election.

Clapper, however, disagreed with this assessment, saying that, for now, he doesn't think that the analysts have succumbed to political pressure. "I imagine now they are going to be very careful when they're writing about Russia, or North Korea's nuclear program," he said. "I'm sure they're still writing that stuff. Institutionally, there are too many checks and balances."

Trump's efforts to pressure the agencies are particularly unusual, though, because they are public. C.I.A. analysts said that Bush Administration officials accused them of trying to sabotage the President's policies<<https://www.nytimes.com/2006/02/11/world/middleeast/excia-official-says-iraq-data-was-distorted.html>> when they questioned intelligence reports that Saddam Hussein had weapons of mass destruction, but the Administration did so in private. Clapper said that Obama never commented, publicly or privately, about testimony that Clapper gave before Congress. "He never said, 'I'd like you to soft-pedal this, or not that say that.' Not once."

The current and former officials, as well Clapper, told me that they are most concerned about the long-term impact of the President's claims that the F.B.I. and C.I.A. are part of the deep state. They worry that such statements will fuel a decline in the willingness of Americans to work for intelligence agencies. "The whole gutting of the institution" is possible, the former official said. "What kind of talent will we attract in the future? That is a huge concern."

Clapper said that he isn't sure the community can withstand the political pressure in the long term. But, he said, for now, it is performing as it should. "Intelligence people are mindful of history. 'How will what I write be reflected in the history books? Did I continue to tell the truth?' The intelligence community will continue to speak truth to power even when the power ignores the truth."

On Tue, Jan 29, 2019 at 7:53 PM Rohde, David (b)(6)

wrote:

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

Great to meet you today at the hearing. I have a quick follow-up question for a piece I'm writing for The New Yorker website tomorrow. Know you are busy. Would it be possible for me to give you a call tomorrow? Thanks.

Best,
David



(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 1:46 PM
To: Eric Schmitt
Subject: RE: @ desk

Thanks, Eric. Yep; I'm tracking. Best, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

From: Eric Schmitt
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 1:36 PM
To: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: Re: @ desk (b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6) [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

I'll call in a bit. I spoke to [redacted] (b)(6) last night about the IC's assessment where the Saudi journalist, **Jamal Khashoggi**, is. **She was going to check.**

Thanks,
Eric

Eric Schmitt

On Oct 5, 2018, at 1:12 PM, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) @ucia.gov> wrote:

If you need anything!

(b)(3) CIAAct [redacted]

(b)(6)

Central Intelligence Agency

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 7:04 PM
To: 'Paul HANDLEY'
Cc: (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: RE: AFP query re WaPo report

Hi Paul,

Thanks for reaching out. You can note CIA declined to comment.

Take care, (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

[redacted]
CIA Office of Public Affairs

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

(b)(6)

From: Paul HANDLEY [redacted]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2018 6:36 PM
To: [redacted]@ucia.gov> (b)(3) CIAAct
Subject: AFP query re WaPo report

Hi,

The Post reports that the CIA has concluded that Saudi Prince Mohammed bin Salman ordered the murder of Jamal Khashoggi.

Is this correct that you reached this conclusion, and if so, based on what?

And who did the prince order to carry this out?

Thanks for any help on this.

Paul Handley
US National Security Correspondent
AFP

(b)(6)

(c)
(o)